Claims

What is claimed is:

| 1 2 | 1. | A calibration database stored in a computer readable medium, said database comprising: |
|-------------|----|--|
| 3 4 5 | | (a) information elements describing at least one functional performance characteristic of respective structural features on a substrate, and |
| 6 7 8 | | (b) information elements describing feedback from said respective structural features as a function of position over each of said respective structural features. |
| 1 | 2. | The calibration database of claim 1 further comprising: |
| 2 | | (c) information elements describing physical analysis of each of said respective structural features. |
| 1 2 3 | 3. | The calibration database of claim 1 wherein said feedback comprises secondary electron emission from said structural features upon exposure to a scanning electron beam. |
| 1 2 | 4. | The calibration database of claim 1 wherein said structural features are holes in a resist layer on said substrate. |
| 1 2 | 5. | The calibration database of claim 4 wherein said functional performance characteristic is a response of each respective hole to an etching protocol. |

| 1 | 6. | A me | A method for evaluation of target structural features on a substrate, said | | | |
|----|----|------|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | meth | od cor | mprising: | | |
| 3 | | (a) | prov | iding a calibration database comprising: | | |
| 4 | | | (i) | information elements selected from the group consisting of | | |
| 5 | | | | (A) information elements describing a functional | | |
| 6 | | | | performance characteristic of respective reference structural | | |
| 7 | | | | features on a substrate, and (B) information elements | | |
| 8 | | | | describing a physical characteristic of each of said | | |
| 9 | | | | respective reference structural features, or both types of | | |
| 10 | | | | information elements, and | | |
| 11 | | | (ii) | information elements describing feedback from said | | |
| 12 | | | | respective reference structural features as a function of | | |
| 13 | | | | position over each of said respective reference structural | | |
| 14 | | | | features, | | |
| 15 | | (b) | prov | viding at least one weighting function as a function of position | | |
| 16 | | | ove | r each of said respective reference structural features and at | | |
| 17 | | | leas | st one correlation function as a function of position over each of | | |
| 18 | | | said | I respective reference structural features, wherein a plurality of | | |
| 19 | | | wei | ghting functions and/or correlation functions is provided, | | |
| 20 | | (c) | dete | ermining a combination of weighting function and correlation | | |
| 21 | | | fund | ction from said provided which provide a desired degree of | | |
| 22 | | | cori | relation between said information elements (i) and (ii) for | | |

respective reference structural features,

| 24 | | (d) providing information elements describing feedback from said |
|----|-----|--|
| 25 | | target structural features as a function of position over each of said |
| 26 | | respective target structural features on said substrate, and |
| 27 | | (e) applying said combination of weighting function and correlation |
| 28 | | function to said target structural feature information elements to |
| 29 | | predict said functional performance characteristic of respective |
| 30 | | target structural features and/or to describe said physical |
| 31 | | characteristic of respective target structural features. |
| 1 | 7. | The method of claim 6 wherein a plurality of weighting functions and a |
| 2 | | plurality of correlation functions are provided in step (b). |
| 1 | 8. | The method of claim 6 wherein said weighting functions are selected from |
| 2 | | the group consisting of continuous functions and discontinuous functions. |
| 1 | 9. | The method of claim 6 wherein a value of said weighting function of said |
| 2 | | determined combination of step (c) is multiplied with a value of a |
| 3 | | respective information element in step (e). |
| 1 | 10. | The method of claim 6 wherein said calibration database includes |
| 2 | | information elements describing a functional performance characteristic of |
| 3 | | respective reference structural features on a substrate, and said |
| 4 | | functional performance characteristic is predicted in step (e). |
| 1 | 11. | The method of claim 10 wherein said functional performance |

characteristic is the etchability across said target feature.

| 1 2 | 12. | The method of claim 6 wherein said structural features are holes in a resist layer on said substrate. | | | | |
|------------------|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 2 | 13. | The method of claim 12 wherein said functional performance characteristic is a response of each respective hole to an etching protocol. | | | | |
| 1 2 3 | 14. | The method of claim 6 wherein all of said information elements are embodied in a computer-readable medium and steps (c) and (e) are performed using a computer. | | | | |
| 1 2 3 | 15. | The method of claim 6 wherein said feedback of steps (a) and (e) comprises secondary electron emission from said structural features upon exposure to a scanning electron beam. | | | | |
| 1 2 | 16. | The method of claim 6 wherein steps (c) and (e) include performance of linear regression analysis. | | | | |
| 1 2 | 17. | A method for evaluation of target structural features on a substrate, said method comprising: | | | | |
| 3 4 5 | | (a) providing information elements describing feedback from said target structural features as a function of position over each of said respective target structural features on said substrate, | | | | |
| 6 7 8 9 | | (b) applying a combination of a weighting function and a correlation function to said target structural feature information elements to predict a functional performance characteristic of respective target structural features and/or to describe a physical characteristic of | | | | |
| 10 | | respective target structural features. | | | | |

| 1 | 18. | The method of claim 17 wherein a value of said weighting function is |
|---|-----|---|
| 2 | | multiplied with a value of a respective information element in step (b). |
| 1 | 19. | The method of claim 17 wherein a functional performance characteristic is |
| 2 | | predicted in step (b). |
| 1 | 20. | The method of claim 19 wherein said functional performance |
| 2 | | characteristic is the etchability across said target feature. |
| 1 | 21. | The method of claim 17 wherein said structural features are holes in a |
| 2 | | resist layer on said substrate. |
| 1 | 22. | The method of claim 21 wherein said functional performance |
| 2 | | characteristic is a response of each respective hole to an etching protocol |
| 1 | 23. | The method of claim 17 wherein all of said information elements are |
| 2 | | embodied in computer-readable media and steps (c) and (e) are |
| 3 | | performed using a computer. |
| 1 | 24. | The method of claim 17 wherein said feedback comprises secondary |
| 2 | | electron emissions from said structural features upon exposure to a |
| 3 | | scanning electron beam. |
| 1 | 25. | A system for evaluation of target structural features on a substrate, said |
| 2 | | system comprising: |
| 3 | | (a) a calibration database in a computer-readable medium, said |
| 4 | | database comprising: |
| 5 | | (i) information elements selected from the group consisting of |

| 6 | | information elements describing a functional performance |
|----|-----|--|
| 7 | | characteristic of respective reference structural features on a |
| 8 | | substrate and information elements describing physical |
| 9 | | analysis of each of said respective reference structural |
| 10 | | features, and |
| 11 | | (ii) information elements describing feedback from said |
| 12 | | respective structural features as a function of position over |
| 13 | | each of said respective reference structural features, |
| 14 | (b) | information elements in a computer-readable medium |
| 15 | | corresponding to at least one weighting function as a function of |
| 16 | | position over each of said respective reference structural features, |
| 17 | | and at least one correlation function as a function of position over |
| 18 | | each of said respective reference structural features, wherein a |
| 19 | | plurality of weighting functions and/or correlation functions is |
| 20 | | provided, |
| 21 | (c) | means for determining a combination of weighting function and |
| 22 | | correlation function from said provided which provide a desired |
| 23 | | degree of correlation between said information elements (i) and (ii) |
| 24 | | for respective reference structural features, |
| 25 | (d) | information elements in a computer-readable medium describing |
| 26 | | feedback from said target structural features as a function of |
| 27 | | position over each of said respective target structural features on |
| 28 | | said substrate, |
| 29 | (e) | means for applying said combination of weighting function and |

| 30 | | correlation function to said target structural feature information |
|----|-----|---|
| 31 | | elements to predict said functional performance characteristic of |
| 32 | | respective target structural features and/or to describe said |
| 33 | | physical characteristic of respective target structural features. |
| 1 | 26. | The system of claim 25 wherein said means (c) comprises executable |
| 2 | | code stored in a computer readable medium and a computer capable of |
| 3 | | executing said code. |
| 1 | 27. | The system of claim 25 wherein said means (e) comprises executable |
| 2 | | code stored in a computer readable medium and a computer capable of |
| 3 | | executing said code. |
| 1 | 28. | An apparatus for evaluation of target structural features on a substrate, |
| 2 | | said apparatus comprising: |
| 3 | | (a) information elements in a computer-readable medium describing |
| 4 | | feedback from said target structural features as a function of |
| 5 | | position over each of said respective target structural features on |
| 6 | | said substrate, |
| 7 | | (b) means for applying a combination of weighting function and |
| 8 | | correlation function to said target structural feature information |
| 9 | | elements to predict a functional performance characteristic of |
| 10 | | respective target structural features and/or to describe a physical |
| 11 | | characteristic of respective target structural features. |
| 1 | 29. | The apparatus of claim 28 further comprising means for obtaining said |

information elements.

| I | 3 U. | me | appara | ilus of ciaim 23 wherein said means for obtaining said |
|----|-------------|-------|----------|--|
| 2 | | infor | mation | elements includes a scanning electron beam. |
| 1 | 31. | A co | mputer | program stored in a computer-readable medium, said |
| 2 | | prog | ram pe | rforming a method of evaluating target structural features on a |
| 3 | | subs | trate, s | said method comprising: |
| 4 | | (a) | crea | ting a calibration database comprising: |
| 5 | | | (i) | information elements selected from the group consisting of |
| 6 | | | | (A) information elements describing a functional |
| 7 | | | | performance characteristic of respective reference structura |
| 8 | | | | features on a substrate, and (B) information elements |
| 9 | | | | describing a physical characteristic of each of said |
| 10 | | | | respective reference structural features, or both types of |
| 11 | | | | information elements, and |
| 11 | | | (ii) | information elements describing feedback from said |
| 12 | | | | respective reference structural features as a function of |
| 13 | | | | position over each of said respective reference structural |
| 14 | | | | features, |
| 15 | | (b) | prov | viding at least one weighting function as a function of position |
| 16 | | | ove | r each of said respective reference structural features and at |
| 17 | | | leas | st one correlation function as a function of position over each of |
| 18 | | | saic | l respective reference structural features, wherein a plurality of |
| 19 | | | wei | ghting functions and/or correlation functions is provided, |
| | | | | |

determining a combination of weighting function and correlation

(c)

20

| 21 22 | | | correlation between said information elements (i) and (ii) for |
|----------|-----|------|--|
| 23 | | | respective reference structural features, |
| 24 | | (d) | obtaining information elements describing feedback from said |
| 25 | | | target structural features as a function of position over each of said |
| 26 | | | respective target structural features on said substrate, and |
| 27 | | (e) | applying said combination of weighting function and correlation |
| 28 | | | function to said target structural feature information elements to |
| 29 | | | predict said functional performance characteristic of respective |
| 30 | | | target structural features and/or to describe said physical |
| 31 | | | characteristic of respective target structural features. |
| 1 | 32. | A co | mputer program stored in a computer-readable medium, said |
| 2 | | prog | ram performing a method of evaluating target structural features on a |
| 3 | | subs | strate, said method comprising: |
| 4 | | (a) | obtaining information elements describing feedback from said |
| 5 | | | target structural features as a function of position over each of said |
| 6 | | | respective target structural features on said substrate, |
| 7 | | (b) | applying a combination of a weighting function and a correlation |
| 8 | | | function to said target structural feature information elements to |
| 9 | | | predict a functional performance characteristic of respective target |
| 10 | | | structural features and/or to describe a physical characteristic of |
| 11 | | | respective target structural features. |